



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.06.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CrI.O.P.No.16901 of 2025

Krishnan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Harur Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
(Crime No.250 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.250 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Pradeep

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 25(1B)(a), 27(1) of Indian Arms Act, 1959, and Section 125(a) of BNS, in Crime No.250 of 2025 on the file of the respondent, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused himself was injured due to the accidental discharge of the country made gun, which was used by him without any valid permission. The gun was seized and the accused was admitted in a hospital.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the nature of allegation, this Court finds that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in this case, therefore, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Harur**, on condition that the petitioner shall



execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**

with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30.a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond during during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];***



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.16901 of 2025



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

ham

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can
be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

23.06.2025

ham

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Harur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Harur Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.16901 of 2025