



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 17875 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-06-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No.17875 of 2025

1. Vikneshwar @ Appu Citizen,
2. Arun Kumar @ Arun julie

Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
H-5, New Washermanpet Police Station, Chennai. Respondent
(Crime No.595 of 2025)


PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, pleaded to grant anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest connected with crime No.595/2025 on the file of the Inspector of Police, H-5, New Washermenpet Police Station, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Dhilip K

For Respondent(s): M/s. R. Vinoth Raja Ga (crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners / Accused 4 & 7, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 324(4), 125, 311 and 351(3) of BNS in Cr. No.595 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 25.03.2025 at about 8.00 am, the defacto complainant was running his petty shop at AA Scheme Road, MPT Colony, New Washermenpet when the accused person came in a drunken state and consumed water bottles and snacks from the shop. When the complainant demanded payment, the accused persons abused him using filthy language. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that there are totally seven accused and the 1st petitioner is arrayed as A4 and the 2nd petitioner is arrayed as A7. He would submit that the first petitioner/A4 has been arrested by the respondent and the 2nd petitioner who is a habitual offender having fifteen previous cases pending



against him. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the 2nd petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions of both sides and the overtact levelled against the 2nd petitioner and the stage of investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief sought for by the 2nd petitioner. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed in respect of the 2nd petitioner.

7. The Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed as infructuous in respect of the 1st petitioner.

27-06-2025

gbi



To
WEB COPY

1.State Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
H-5, New Washermanpet Police
Station, Chennai. (Crime No.595 of
2025)

2.The XV Metropolitan Magistrate
Court George Town.

3.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 17875 of 2025



M.NIRMAL KUMAR J.

gbi

**CRL OP No. 17875 of
2025**

27-06-2025