



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.16671 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CrI.O.P.No.16671 2025

Kuppan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Adamangalam Pudur Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
Crime No.42 of 2025

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of
arrest in connection with Crime No.42 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : M/s.S.Elvin Niha

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police
for the offences punishable under Sections 303 (2), 326 (a) of BNS Act, and
Section 21 (1) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, in
Crime No.42 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.16671 of 2025

WEB COPY


2. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner was found in illegal transportation of 1 unit of river sand. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner, without prejudice to his rights, is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- to any welfare scheme of the Government or any other organization. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the nature of the allegations and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant



Crl.O.P.No.16671 of 2025


anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Kalasapakkam, Tiruvannamalai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] **The petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) (Non refundable) towards the account of Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher**



Crl.O.P.No.16671 of 2025

WEB COPY

Education and Research, Current Account maintained at Indian Bank, SRU Branch, Porur, Chennai-600 116, bearing Account No.471533180 IFSC Code No.IDIB000S180 and to produce the Bank Challan before the concerned Magistrate and the receipt shall be produced at the time of executing the bond;

[d] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of three weeks; thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[f] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[g] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[h] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**



Crl.O.P.No.16671 of 2025

WEB COPY

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

26.06.2025

ep

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Kalasapakkam, Tiruvannamalai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Adamangalam Pudur Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.16671 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ep

Crl.O.P.No.16671 of 2025

26.06.2025