



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-07-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH

WP No. 23882 of 2025

1. S.Malar

W/o. C. S Aravanan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Tamil Nadu Urban Habitat Development Board
Rep By Managing Director,
No. 5 Kamarajar Salai, Chepauk, Chennai – 600 005.

2.The Superintending Engineer
Division-9 Tamilnadu Urban Habitat Development Board,
Perumbakam, Chennai 600 119.

3.The Estate Officer
Estate Office 9,
Tamilnadu Urban Habitat Development Board,
Semmancheri, Chennai 600 119.

4.Tamilnadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation Ltd
Rep By its Managing Director,
“Dugar Towers”, 6th Floor,
Old No. 34 New No. 123, Marshalls Road,
Egmore Chennai 600 008.



5. Telecom Regulatory Authority Of India (TRAI)

Rep By Secretary

Mahanagar Doorsanchar Bhawan,

Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, New Delhi 110 002.

6. RAMACHANDRAN

Cable TV Operator,

No. 2517 Kannakinagar,

Thuraipakkam, Chennai 600 097

Cable TV Operator

Respondent(s)

PRAYER


Call for records of the 2nd respondent in the order dated 02.05.2025 in Ref No. Se.Mu.Ka.En.Ne.Po.Ka-9/03/2025 relating to allots 300 additional connections to the 6th respondent to operation of the Cable TV in Perumbakkam housing board, Chennai and quash and direct the 2nd respondent to allot 150 connections to the petitioner.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Edwin Yovan Raj

For Respondent: Mrs.K.Indumathy Venkatesh
Standing Counsel for R1-3

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned proceedings of the 2nd respondent in Se.Mu.Ka.En.Ne.Po.Ka-9/03/2025, dated 02.05.2025 relating to allotment of 300 additional connections to the 6th respondent for operating cable TV in Perumbakkam Housing Board and to direct the 2nd respondent to allot 150 connections to the petitioner.



2. Heard Mr.D.Edwin Yovan Raj, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs.K.Indumathy Venkatesh, learned Standing Counsel for the 1st to 3rd respondents.

3. The grievance expressed by the petitioner is that she has been allotted 360 connections, whereas the 6th respondent has been allotted 1050 connections to operate cable TV in the Perumbakkam Housing Board flats.

4. In the considered view of this Court, this Court, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, cannot be wasting its time on a decision taken by the Board to allot a certain number of cable TV connections to a particular individual. This Court cannot decide how many connections must be given to each cable TV operator. If such writ petitions are entertained, this Court will be wasting its time with such frivolous litigation. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present writ petition.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions, if any, are closed.

03-07-2025

gd

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

WP No. 23882 of 2025





To

1. Tamil Nadu Urban Habitat Development Board

Rep By Managing Director,

No. 5 Kamarajar Salai, Chepauk, Chennai – 600 005.

2. The Superintending Engineer

Division-9 Tamilnadu Urban Habitat Development Board,

Perumbakam, Chennai 600 119.

3. The Estate Officer

Estate Office 9,

Tamilnadu Urban Habitat Development Board,

Semmancheri, Chennai 600 119.

4. Tamilnadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation Ltd

Rep By its Managing Director,

“Dugar Towers”, 6th Floor,

Old No. 34 New No. 123, Marshalls Road,

Egmore Chennai 600 008.

5. Telecom Regulatory Authority Of India (TRAI)

Rep By Secretary

Mahanagar Doorsanchar Bhawan,

Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, New Delhi 110 002.



WEB COPY

WP No. 23882 of 2025



N.ANAND VENKATESH J.

gd

WP No. 23882 of 2025

03-07-2025