



WEB COPY

WP No. 20155 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-06-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 20155 of 2025

AND

WMP NO. 22728 OF 2025

Tvl.Tamil Film producers Council,
Rep by its secretary Mr.R. Radhakrishnan,
No. 66, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 006.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner ST,
Nungambakkam Assessment Circle,
No. 88, Mayor Ramanathan Salai,
Chetpet, Chennai 31.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for an issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the respondent in Reference Number ZA331119000805W dated 01.11.2019 and to quash the same being illegal, invalid without jurisdiction and violated the principles of natural justice, consequently, to direct the respondent to restore the GSTIN/ UIN 33AAATT5547MIZ4.



WEB COPY

WP No. 20155 of 2025



For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Vijayakumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.C.Harsha Raj,
Special Government Pleader (t)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging impugned order dated 01.11.2019 passed by the respondent.

2.Mr.C.Harsha Raj, learned Special Government Pleader (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that due to financial constraints, the petitioner had not filed the GST returns for a period of 6 months. Under these circumstances, the GST Registration of the petitioner was cancelled by the respondent vide order dated 01.11.2019.



WEB COPY

4. Further, he would submit that the petitioner is willing to file his GST returns and pay the entire tax liabilities along with applicable interest and penalty, if any. Hence, he requests this Court to revoke the order passed by the respondent for cancellation of GST Registration of the petitioner.

5. In reply, the learned Senior Standing counsel for the respondent confirms that the GST registration of the petitioner was cancelled by the respondent vide impugned order dated 01.11.2019 and requests this Court to pass an appropriate order.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

7. In this case, the GST registration of the petitioner was cancelled by the respondent vide the impugned order dated 01.11.2019. According to the



petitioner, due to financial constraints, he was unable to run his business and hence, he had failed to file his returns continuously for a period of 6 months.

WEB COPY

The reason provided for non-compliance with the relevant provisions of the Act within the prescribed time, in the considered opinion of this Court, appears to be genuine.

8. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to revoke the impugned order passed by the respondent canceling the GST registration of the petitioner. The cancellation of registration is hereby revoked, subject to the fulfillment of the following conditions:

(i) The respondent shall take suitable steps by instructing GST Network, New Delhi to make suitable changes in the architecture of the GST Web portal to allow the petitioner to file the returns and to pay the tax/penalty/fine, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) The petitioner is directed to file returns for the period till date, if not filed, together with tax dues along with interest thereon and the fee fixed for belated filing of returns within a



period of 4 weeks from the date of restoration of GST
Registration of the petitioner.

WEB COPY


(iii) It is made clear that such payment of tax, interest, fine/fee etc. shall not be allowed to be made or adjusted from and out of any Input Tax Credit (ITC) which may be lying unutilized or unclaimed in the hands of the petitioner.

(iv) If any ITC has remained unutilized, it shall not be utilised until it is scrutinized and approved by an appropriate or competent officer of the Department.

(v) Only such approved ITC shall be allowed to be utilized thereafter for discharging future tax liability under the Act and Rules.

(vi) If any ITC was earned, it shall be allowed to be utilised only after scrutinising and approving by the respondent or any other competent authority.

(vii) If any of the aforesaid conditions is not complied



with by the petitioner, the benefit granted under this order will automatically ceased to operate.

WEB COPY

9. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

06-06-2025

rst

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WP No. 20155 of 2025



WEB COPY The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Nungambakkam Assessment Circle,
No. 88, Mayor Ramanathan Salai,
Chetpet, Chennai 31.



WEB COPY

WP No. 20155 of 2025



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

rst

WP No. 20155 of 2025
AND WMP NO. 22728 OF 2025

06-06-2025