



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16545 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-06-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No. 16545 of 2025

Arun

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Alangayam Police Station,
Tirupattur District
(Crime No.66 of 2025).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.66 of 2025 on the file of the Inspector of police, Alangayam Police Station, Tirupattur District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. G.Vinodh Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr. R.Vinothraja GA (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 329(3), 296(b), 115(2), 132, 324(4), 351(2) of BNS in Crime No.66 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.05.2025 at about 13.30 hours, the petitioner visited a TASMAL shop and inquired about a specific brand. When the defacto complainant informed the petitioner that the product was out of stock, the petitioner allegedly abused him in filthy language, assaulted him with his hands, and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner is ready to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, the learned counsel



prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides, the nature of allegation and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the



WEB COPY

event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial**

Magistrate NO.III, Tirupattur on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] **the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks;**



WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];



WEB COPY

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

12-06-2025

Jai

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To
WEB COPY

1. The State Rep. by the Inspector of
Police,
Alangayam Police Station,
Tirupattur District.

2. The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Tirupattur.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16545 of 2025



M.NIRMAL KUMAR J.

jai

**CRL OP No. 16545 of
2025**

12-06-2025