



WEB COPY



A. No.2507 of 2025

in

C.S. No.100 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.09.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

A. No.2507 of 2025

in

C.S. No.100 of 2024

1. Euphoric Innovations Private Limited
Plot No.10-12, SIDCO Industrial Estate,
Malumichampatty (PO), Coimbatore,
Tamil Nadu, India.
represented by its Authorized Signatory
Mr. Binu Rajendran

2. Walkaroo International Private Limited,
Plot No.10-12, SIDCO Industrial Estate,
Malumichampatty (PO), Coimbatore,
Tamil Nadu, India - 641 050..
represented by its Authorized Signatory
Ms. Drishya J Moses

... Applicants / Plaintiffs

vs.


Shahin PPK S/o. Basheer PPK

... Respondent / Defendant

PRAYER: Application filed under Order XIV, Rule 8 of Original Side Rules

read with Order VII Rule 14(3) of Civil Procedure Code praying to permit the

Page No.1 of 6



A. No.2507 of 2025
in
C.S. No.100 of 2024

applicants / Plaintiffs to file additional documents in C.S. No.100 of 2024.

WEB COPY

For Applicants : Ms. R. Vidhya Shankar


For Respondent : Mr. K.V. Sundararajan

ORDER

This application has been filed by the petitioners to permit them to file additional documents in the main Suit in C.S. No.100 of 2024 under Order XIV, Rule 8 of Original Side Rules read with Order VII Rule 14(3) of Civil Procedure Code.

2. According to the applicants, they are the Plaintiffs in the main Suit and they filed the main Suit for the reliefs of permanent injunction and compensation. At the time of filing the main Suit, they filed some documents and the application-mentioned documents are very essential to prove the case and they were unable to produce those documents at the time of filing of the

Page No.2 of 6



A. No.2507 of 2025

in

C.S. No.100 of 2024

Suit due to non-availability. Therefore, they have filed the present application.

3. The respondent filed a counter stating that the applicants have not stated any proper reason as to why they have not filed the documents at the time of filing the Suit and the application-mentioned documents are not necessary to decide the case. Therefore, the application is not maintainable and the same is liable to be dismissed.

4. Heard both sides and perused the records.

5. Considering the nature of application, facts and circumstances of the case and the application is filed only to receive the documents and merely allowing the application to receive the documents, it will not amount to proof of documents, this Court is of the opinion that the application is to be allowed and the documents can be received subject to proof and relevancy. The

Page No.3 of 6



A. No.2507 of 2025
in
C.S. No.100 of 2024

respondent is at liberty to raise objections, if any, at the time of marking the documents.

6. Therefore, this application is *allowed*. **The application mentioned documents can be received subject to proof and relevancy.**

04.09.2025
[1/2]

mjs

P.DHANABAL.,J



WEB COPY



A. No.2507 of 2025
in
C.S. No.100 of 2024
mjs

A. No.2507 of 2025
in
C.S. No.100 of 2024



WEB COPY



A. No.2507 of 2025
in
C.S. No.100 of 2024

04.09.2025

[1/2]