



Crl.O.P.No.16478 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.16478 of 2025

R.Mathan Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Chengalpet PEW
Chengalpattu District
Crime No.83 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner/Accused on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.83 of 2025 pending on the file of the Respondent police.

For petitioner : Mr.Silambarasan

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Section 4(1)(A) & 4(1) (i) of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition (Amendment) Act, 2024 in Crime No.83 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



Crl.O.P.No.16478 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that while the respondent police were at regular patrol work, the petitioner along with A2 were found in illegal possession of 94 Top Star Brandy bottles and the entire contraband and cash of Rs.400/- were seized by the respondent police. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Further, the learned counsel submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case only for statistical purpose. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CrI.O.P.No.16478 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the nature of the offence committed by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

10.06.2025

uma

To

1. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Chengalpet PEW
Chengalpattu District

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

3/4



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.16478 of 2025

uma

Crl.O.P.No.16478 of 2025

10.06.2025