



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16500 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-06-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No. 16500 of 2025

1. Kannan @ Yoganandhan

2. JAYAVISHNU

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep. by, The Inspector of
Police,
Manali New Town Police Station,
Chennai. (Crime No.175/2025).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act to enlarge the Petitioners/Accused on Anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest pending investigation in Crime No. 175/2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.G.Samundeeswari.



For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Vinothraja GA (Crl. Side)

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 126(2), 296(b), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.175 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on May 17, 2025, the defacto complainant demanded payment, which was refused. Two days later on May 19, 2025, at 11.00 a.m., the petitioners allegedly waylaid the defacto complainant, assaulted him with a cricket bat and iron rod, and threatened him with dire consequences, resulting in injuries that necessitated hospitalization. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioners are ready to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready




to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for their release. Hence, the learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the the injured has been discharged from hospital and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides, the injured has been discharged from hospital and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Ponneri** on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY

**[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent
Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks;**


[d] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned



Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble
Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW
5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 of BNS.

12-06-2025

Jai

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No



CRL OP No. 16500 of 2025



To
WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,
Manali New Town Police Station,
Chennai.
2. The Judicial Magistrate -II,
Ponneri.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16500 of 2025



M. NIRMAL KUMAR J.

jai

**CRL OP No. 16500 of
2025**

12-06-2025