



WEB COPY

W.P.No.20791 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.06.2025

**CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**W.P.No.20791 of 2025
and
W.M.P.Nos.23456, 23458 & 23461 of 2025**

P.Maheswari

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Director of Collegiate Education,
Saidapet, Chennai - 600 015,
Chennai District.
2. The Joint Director of Collegiate Education,
Chennai Region,
Saidapet,
Chennai - 600 015.
3. The Principal and Secretary,
Ethiraj College for women,
Chennai - 600 008.
4. Ethiraj College for women,
Rep by its Principal and Secretary,
Chennai - 600 008.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, seeking for an issuance of writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the proceedings Mu Mu No.13694/G1/2023 dated 03.09.2024 issued by the 1st respondent as well as the consequential Letter



W.P.No.20791 of 2

O.Mu.No.3310/E2/2022 dated 11.04.2025 issued by the 2nd respondent and quash them and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 2 to approve appointment of petitioner as Assistant Professor of History at the 4th respondent College and consequently release the salary with arrears from the date of joining duty i.e., 28.09.2021.


For Petitioner : Mr.S.Senthilnathan
For Respondents : Mr.C.Jayaprakash
Government Advocate for R1 & R2

ORDER

The writ petition has been filed challenging the proceedings of the 1st respondent dated 03.09.2024, and the consequential letter of the 2nd respondent dated 11.04.2025.

2. The case of the petitioner is that, by virtue of impugned proceedings dated 03.09.2024 and its consequential impugned letter dated 11.04.2025, the respondents have not considered the spirit of PSTM and the above impugned orders are in contravention to the Judgment of the learned single Judge passed in W.A.No.1481 of 2021 dated 17.11.2021. Hence prays to quash the same.

3. Mr.C.Jaya Prakash, learned Government Advocate who takes



W.P.No.20791 of 2

WEB COPY

notice for the respondents 1 and 2 would contend that the impugned order is not addressed to the petitioner. It is only an inter-office communication and no final order has been passed. In such view of the matter, the petitioner has no *locus standi* to file the present writ petition.

4. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

5. As rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate, the impugned orders are not addressed to the petitioner. Apart from that, in pursuance to the impugned orders, no order has been passed by the respondents 3 and 4 against the petitioner. In such view of the matter, this Court do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned orders.

In the result, the Writ Petition stands dismissed as devoid of merits.


No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

13.06.2025
(5/5)

veda
Index: Yes/No
Speaking order/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

veda



W.P.No.20791 of 2025

To
WEB COPY

1. The Director of Collegiate Education,
Saidapet, Chennai - 600 015,
Chennai District.
2. The Joint Director of Collegiate Education,
Chennai Region,
Saidapet,
Chennai - 600 015.
3. The Principal and Secretary,
Ethiraj College for women,
Chennai - 600 008.

W.P.No.20791 of 2025

13.06.2025
(5/5)