

Crl.O.P.No.19658 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.08.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.19658 of 2025

- 1.Samudi
- 2.Ashok Kumar
- 3.Jayakanthan
- 4.Ramachandhiran

.. Petitioners/A6, A7, A13 & A14

Vs.

The State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Maharajakadai Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.11 of 2025)

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.11 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.M P.Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No.19658 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY The petitioners were apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 108 and 56 of BNS 2023 (306 and 116 of IPC) in Crime No.11 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the deceased in this case committed suicide by self-immolation. The deceased also gave a dying declaration. In the dying declaration, it is seen that there is only a dispute regarding the worshipping of Panchamuga Anjaneyar Temple. Both the petitioner and the deceased have been lodging complaints and filing complaints against each other. Since there were some accusations against the deceased that he was indulging in gambling. He has committed suicide, and there is only a reference to the petitioners' name in the dying declaration.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the this Court has already granted anticipatory bail to A1 and A2. The petitioners are innocent, have not committed any offence, as alleged by the prosecution and have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further



Crl.O.P.No.19658 of 2025

submit that they are ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the



Crl.O.P.No.19658 of 2025

WEB COPY

respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;


[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall stay at Madurai and report before the Othakadai Police Station daily at 10:30 P.M., until further orders;

[d] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to



Crl.O.P.No.19658 of 2025

WEB COPY

the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

08.08.2025

cda

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Krishnagiri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Maharajakadai Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.19658 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

cda

Crl.O.P.No.19658 of 2025

08.08.2025