



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16418 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-06-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No. 16418 of 2025

1. Ramalakshmi
2. Shanmugapriya

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
Komaralingam Police Station,
Tiruppur District
(Crime No.86/2025)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the Petitioners/Accused on anticipatory bail in Crime No. 86 of 2025 dated 08.05.2025, on the file of the Komaralingam Police Station, Tiruppur District in the event of arrest by the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S. Gokul

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Vinothraja Govt Advocate (crl Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS and under Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1988 in Crime No. 86 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the day of incident, the petitioners allegedly abused and assaulted the defacto complainant, resulting in injuries that required hospital treatment. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. The second petitioner herein has a minor child to take care. Hence, the learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police on instructions, opposes for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and confirmed the above said facts.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides, nature of the allegations and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial**



WEB COPY

Magistrate, Madathukulam on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:


[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;



WEB COPY

[f]the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

10-06-2025


Jai

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1. The State Rep By, The Inspector of

WEB COPY Police

Komaralingam Police Station, Tiruppur
District (Crime No.86/2025).

2. The Judicial Magistrate,
Madathukulam.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16418 of 2025



M.NIRMAL KUMAR J.

jai

**CRL OP No. 16418 of
2025**

10-06-2025