



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.16263 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **06.06.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CrI.O.P.No.16263 of 2025

1.Jaya Kumar
2.Nirmal @ Raja Ram
3.Lokeshwari
4.Sekar

... Petitioners/A1 to A4

Vs

State Rep. by its,
Inspector of Police,
Sevvepet Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Crime No.136 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest pending investigation in Crime No.136 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For petitioners : Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja

Government Advocate (CrI. Side)



CrI.O.P.No.16263 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 (Sec.341, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC) r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.136 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant's son married 1st petitioner's daughter Indumathi. The de-facto complainant's son died on 08.04.2025, thereafter the said Indumathi came back to the 1st petitioner's house. Earlier the petitioners sent a notice seeking share in the property of the deceased husband. Due to that, the de-facto complainant and her son came to the 1st petitioner's house, at that time, a wordy quarrel arose and both of them attacked with each other and sustained injury. Hence, the case.



Crl.O.P.No.16263 of 2025


WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have nothing to do with the alleged offence. He further submitted that the injured discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that a counter complaint is filed by the de-facto complainant.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations and the fact that the injured discharged from the hospital and it is a case in counter, this Court is inclined



CrI.O.P.No.16263 of 2025

to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thiruvallur** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identify proofs to ensure their identity;



CrI.O.P.No.16263 of 2025

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;


[d] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a Police officer as and when required;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, they shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW



Crl.O.P.No.16263 of 2025

5560];
WEB COPY

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

rsi

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

06.06.2025

rsi

To:

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Thiruvallur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Sevvepet Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.16263 of 2025