



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.16199 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.16199 of 2025

Kodishwaran

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Alangayam Police Station,
Thirupathur District.

(Crime No.63 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in connection with Crime No.63 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Rajal

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences under Section 309(4) of BNS, in Crime No.63 of 2025, on the file of the respondent, seeks anticipatory bail.



CrI.O.P.No.16199 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner/A1, who got introduced to the de facto complainant through Gridr App, invited the de facto complainant to a secluded place, wherein, he along with other accused had committed theft of Rs.6,500/- and a mobile phone from the de facto complainant. Hence the case.

3. The contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent and was falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is History sheeted rowdy having several previous cases.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.16199 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side and taking note of the nature of the allegations and the previous antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary, therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

24.06.2025

ham

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Thirupathur District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Alangayam Police Station,
Thirupathur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.16199 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.16199 of 2025

24.06.2025