



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

Jakeerusen

... Petitioner/A6

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Kanchi Taluk Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
(Cr.No.108 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in
connection with Crime No.108 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Vijay

For Respondent : Mr.L.Baskaran

- Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 11.03.2025, for the offence punishable under Section 103(2) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, r/w 3 of Explosive Substances Act, 1908 in
Crime No.108 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.




Crl.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The contention of the petitioner is that on 11.03.2025 at about 01.30.p.m., the accused persons have murdered the defacto complainant's son namely Raja by using country bomb and knife. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been arrayed as an accused based on the confession of the co-accused. He further submitted that the petitioner was earlier detained under Goondas Act in G.O.Rt.No.2832 and the same was revoked on 19.05.2025. He further submitted that A8 & A9 were granted bail by this Court on 14.05.2025 & 22.05.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.14010 of 2025 and Crl.O.P.No.15745 of 2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner was detained



Crl.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

WEB COPY

under Goondas Act and he seeks time to ascertain whether the petitioner's detention order has been revoked.

5. In reply, Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner pointed out Pg.51 of the typeset, where the petitioner's detention order was revoked.

6. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) has no answer for the same.

7. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

9. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Kanchipuram** and on further conditions that:



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

09.06.2025

sma

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

5/7



CrI.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Kanchipuram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kanchi Taluk Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
- 3.The Central Prison,
Puzhal.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

sma

Crl.O.P.No.16183 of 2025

09.06.2025