



WEB COPY



W.P.No.19398 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR**

W.P.No.19398 of 2025

Nandhakumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.

2.The Tahsildar,
Krishnagiri Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

3.Janakiraman

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to remove the encroachments made by the third respondent on the lands comprised in Survey No.459/24 situate at Jagathap Village, Krishnagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District which is classified as lane and common well based on the petitioner's representation dated 03.03.2025 to the first respondent.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.19398 of 2025

For Petitioner : No appearance
For Respondents : Mr.T.K.Saravanan,
Additional Government Pleader
for R1 and R2
Mr.G.Esakkimuthu for R3

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.,**]

Read this in conjunction with and in continuation of earlier proceedings made in the previous listing on 18.06.2025, which reads as follows:

'W.P.No.19398 of 2025

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.,**

(Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.)

There is no representation for writ petitioner either in the physical Court or on 'Videoconferencing' {'VC'} platform but Mr.S.Esakkimuthu, learned counsel for R3 is before this Court. To be noted, this is a hybrid hearing, which is a daily / regular / routine feature in this Court.

2. With the intention of giving an opportunity to writ petitioner and learned counsel for writ petitioner, list tomorrow under the cause list caption 'FOR DISMISSAL'.

List on 19.06.2025.'



W.P.No.19398 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. As regards the writ petitioner, the position is no different today. Though captioned matter is listed under the cause list caption 'FOR DISMISSAL' pursuant to our earlier proceedings, there is no representation for writ petitioner but Mr.T.K.Saravanan, learned Additional Government Pleader for R1 and R2 and Mr.G.Esakkimuthu, learned counsel for R3 are before this Court.

3. In the light of the narrative thus far, captioned main Writ Petition is dismissed for default/non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(H.C.,J.)

19.06.2025

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

mmi

To

1.The District Collector,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.

2.The Tahsildar,
Krishnagiri Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

Page Nos.3/4



WEB COPY



W.P.No.19398 of 2025

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR, J.,**

mmi

W.P.No.19398 of 2025

19.06.2025