



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

WP No. 2680 of 2018


and WMP Nos.3319, 3320, 7664 of 2018

1. M.Nagarajan,
S/o.Manoharan, Mel Street, Vengattam
Palayam Village, Aadhamangalam
Pudhur, Kalasapakkam Taluk,
Thiruvannamalai District- 606 906

2. S.Dhanam
W/o.Gunalan, No.2/263, Kollai Mettu
Street, Mel Velvarayan Nallur,
Vilvarani Village and Post,
Kalasapakkam Taluk, Thiruvannamalai
District- 606 906

3. R.Kavitha, W/o.Arul
No.630, Kamaraj nagar, Kanji Village
and Post, Chengam Taluk,
Thiruvannamalai District- 606 702

4. K.Sujatha, D/o.Kathirvel
Thiruvallur Nagar, Samathuvapuram
Village, Perunkulathur Post, Cheyyur
Taluk, Thiruvannamalai District- 604
407



5. R.Seenuvasan, S/o.Rathinam
No.921, Old Fire Officer Street,
Thandarampet Post and Taluk,
Thiruvannamalai District- 604 707

6. M.Revathi, D/o.Murugan
No.6/60, Pillaiyar Koil Street,
Reddipalayam Village, Kattukanallur
Post, Arni Taluk, Thiruvannamalai
District

7. S.Selvakumar, S/o.Sanmugam
Valluvar Street, Thurinjapuram Village
and Post, Thiruvannamalai Taluk and
District- 660 805

8. P.Devendiran, S/o.Perumal
Thanner Pandhal Village, Kilsipakkam
Post, Thandarampet Taluk,
Thiruvannamalai District- 606 707

9. R.Tamilselvi,
D/o.Renugopal, No.535, Ashok Nagar,
Vengikal Village and Post,
Thiruvannamalai Taluk and District-
606 604

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Principal Secretary /
Special, Commissioner of Social
Defence (FAC), 300, Purasawalkam
High Road, Kellys, Chennai-10



WEB COPY

2.The District Collector,
O/o the District Collector,
Thiruvannamalai District

3.B.Kokila,
District Child Protection Officer,
Thiruvannamalai District

4.The Government Of India,
MINISTRY OF WOMEN AND CHILD
DEVELOPMENT, SHASTRI
BHAWAN, Dr.RAJENDRA PRASAD
ROAD, NEW DELHI.110 001.(R4-
IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER
DATED 01.10.2024 IN WP.2680/2018
BY DEVJ)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order of the 2nd Respondent, being the advertisement bearing the nomenclature, Pathirikkai Seithi calling for new recruitments for the posts held by the Petitioners herein in the services of Child Protection Unit, Thiruvannamalai District and consequently renew the contracts of the Petitioners is consideration of their representation dated 21.12.2017.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.T.Gowthaman
Senior Counsel
for Mrs.G.Sunitha



WEB COPY

WP No. 2680 of 2018



For Respondent(s): Mr.G.Ameedius
Government Advocate for

R1 to R3

M/s. R.Rajesh Vivekananthan, For R4

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to call for the records relating to the impugned order of the 2nd Respondent and consequently renew the contracts of the Petitioners in consideration of their representation dated 21.12.2017.

2. The petitioners have been accommodated in various positions in Child Protection Unit, Tiruvannamalai District on contractual basis and their contracts have been renewed until the year 2017. The grievance of the petitioners is that except the third respondent these petitioners' contract have not been renewed though the scheme under which the petitioners have been appointed had to be extended till 30.11.2018. The petitioner's services have been terminated on various dates and an advertisement has been given to recruit new members in the place of the petitioners.

3. It is seen that most of the allegations made in the writ petition are against the third respondent and against whom the petitioners have given a complaint to the first and second respondent. The petitioners have not stated



anything about the terms and conditions of their contract. The nomenclature of contractual employment would only convey that the appointment made to the petitioners to the contractual post are tenure based and it is not a permanent post as against any sanctioned vacancy and that their appointments were made temporarily against such sanctioned vacancies.

4. All along the petitioners have stated about the third respondent that she is indulging in corruptive practice and her services are being continued in the unit whereas the petitioners are not treated fairly. However it is seen that no relief is sought against the third respondent. Even according to the submission of the petitioner, it is stated that some action has been initiated by the respondents 1 and 2 against the third respondent. Such averments are extraneous to the relief sought in this writ petition.

5. Mr.G.Ameedius, the learned Government Advocate, submitted that except petitioners 1,2,4 & 8 who have been already relieved, the rest of the petitioners are continuing in the Unit.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I feel the respondents 1 and 2 shall take a call on the representation made by the petitioner and pass orders.



7. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed with a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation made by the petitioners and pass orders on merits, within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

23-04-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

bkn



To
WEB COPY

1. The Principal Secretary /
Special, Commissioner of Social
Defence (FAC), 300, Purasawalkam
High Road, Kellys, Chennai-10
2. The District Collector,
O/o the District Collector,
Thiruvannamalai District
3. B. Kokila,
District Child Protection Officer,
Thiruvannamalai District
4. The Government Of India,
MINISTRY OF WOMEN AND CHILD
DEVELOPMENT, SHASTRI
BHAWAN, Dr. RAJENDRA PRASAD
ROAD, NEW DELHI. 110 001.



WEB COPY

WP No. 2680 of 2018



R.N.MANJULA J.

bkn

WP No. 2680 of 2018

23-04-2025