



WEB COPY

CRL OP NO. 1: 125



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-08-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE G. JAYACHANDRAN

CRL OP NO. 15903 of 2025

AND

CRL MP NO.13920 OF 2025

R.Sasikala

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
CCB-Land Grabbing,
Avadi City Police, Tiruvallur District.
Crime No.63 of 2025.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in connection with Crime No.63 of 2025 on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.J.Karthik Eswaran

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S. Udayakumar,
Government Advocate - Criminal Side

For intervenor : Mr.D.Jaganathan

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 468, 467 and 420 I.P.C. in Crime No.63 of 2025, on the file



of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.It is a case of forgery in respect of valuable property near Thirumizhasai Village and specific complaint been lodged by one Viswanath alleging that his property been grabbed by the accused persons by creating fake title documents and power of attorney. The petitioner herein is the power of attorney was executed another power of attorney in favour of Arunachalam in respect of the property and sold to third parties.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the Principal of the petitioner namely Vadivudai Ammal, Tamizharasai, Senthil Nayakam and Sivagama Sundari are all true owners of the property obtained through a decree for partition.

4.However, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) submits that summons issued to these persons revealed that Senthil Nayagam and Vadivudai Ammal died whereas Tamizharasi and Sivagama Sundari inspite of receiving summons to appear for enquiry has not turned up to produce documents. The petitioner herein has not received summons from the Police and the enquiry reveals that she is somewhere in Rajasthan without participating in the investigation.



me

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in Chennai she is ready to participate in the enquiry.

6. Heard the learned counsels and perused the materials on record.

7. On perusing the record and the allegations made against the petitioner, it is evident that a thorough investigation is necessary regarding the power of attorney executed in favour of the petitioner, by the so called Vadivudai Ammal, Tamizharasai, Senthil Nayakam and Sivagama Sundari. Without securing them, the investigation in the case of impersonation and forgery cannot be proceeded. Hence, petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

12-08-2025

ep



WEB COPY



Dr.G. JAYACHANDRAN, J.

ep

To
1. State Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,

CCB-Land Grabbing,

Avadi City Police, Tiruvallur District.

2.The Public Prosecutor

High Court of Madras.

CRL OP NO. 15903 of 2025

AND

CRL MP NO.13920 OF 2025



WEB COPY



12.08.2025