



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.15828 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.15828 of 2025

1. William
2. Livinkumar
3. Milson

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Pernambut Police Station,
Vellore District.

Crime No.134 of 2025

... Respondent


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Crime No.134 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) &



Crl.O.P.No.15828 of 2025

351(3) of BNS in Crime No.134 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, the accused had abused the de facto complainant and assaulted him, resulting him in injuries. Hence the case.

3. The contention of the petitioners is that on account of the family dispute, a false complaint has been given against the petitioners and the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either sides and taking note of the nature of the allegations and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



CrI.O.P.No.15828 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stands dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stands automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required;**

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and they shall make themselves available



CrI.O.P.No.15828 of 2025

WEB COPY

for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, they shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[h] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.06.2025

ham



Crl.O.P.No.15828 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Gudiyatham
2. The Inspector of Police,
Pernambut Police Station,
Vellore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.15828 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.15828 of 2025

24.06.2025