



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.15792 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-05-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. SENTHIL KUMAR

CRL OP NO.15792 of 2025

1. Beebeejan

2. Arul Vedham

... Petitioner(s)/ A2 & A3

Vs

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Mangadu Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.380 of 2025).

... Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.380 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. S. Thiyagarajan

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.380 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are closely related to each other; that the first accused in this case is the husband of the defacto complainant, who in an inebriated condition, possessed cool lip and spit it all over the bed room; that while the same was questioned, A1 along with the petitioners herein abused and assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioners had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioners are ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for




the investigation, and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that on account of family dispute, wordy quarrel arose and the petitioners along with A1 have abused and assaulted the defacto complainant; that the injured has been treated as out-patient; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioners, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and since custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned**



Crl.O.P.No.15792 of 202

Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the first petitioner/ Beebeejan shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. As far as the second petitioner/ Arul Vedham is concerned, he shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.15792 of 2025



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

22.05.2025

stn/ nsl

Note:-

- 1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.**
- 2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.**

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur.

5/6



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.15792 of 2025

N. SENTHILKUMAR, J.

stn/ nsl

2. The Inspector of Police,
B-1 Bazaar Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No.232 of 2025).
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.15792 of 2025

22.05.2025