



C.S.No.657 of 2014

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.A.NAKKIRAN


C.S.No.657 of 2014

1.Brinda Muthuswamy
2.Kalpagam Ravi
3.A.M.Sai Prarthana

.. Plaintiffs

Vs.

1.A.K.Muthusamy
2.A.K.Sankar Prasad
3.A.R.Santhanakrishnan
4.N.Kalavathi
5.A.S.Anantharaman
6.G.N.Pandian
7.Usha Kalyani
8.G.N.Ravi
9.N.Sundharavadanam
10.Malliga
11.T.Vasuki
12.R.Padmavathi
13.Prabhavathi
14.N.Selvaraj
15.Kanniammal
16.N.Kanniappan
17.S.Sairam
18.P.L.Panchatsharam
19.V.Raju
20.M.Subramanan
21.Lakshmi



C.S.No.657 of 2014

22.Uma Pandian

23.M/s.Ozone Projects Private Limited

Represented by its Director S.Vasudevan

No.63, G.N.Chetty Road

T.Nagar, Chennai-600 017.

24.S.Jeganatha Pandian

25.M/s.Vetri and J.P.Associates

A Partnership firm

Represented by its partner

S.Jeganatha Pandian

S/o.Late M.V.V.N.Sivanthi

No.1, Subramanian Street

Abhiramapuram, Chennai-600 018.

.. Defendants

Prayer: Civil Suit filed under Order IV CPC Rule 1 of the High Court Original Side Rules read with Order VII Rule 1 & d CPC, praying to pass a judgement and decree against the defendants:

- a) to grant the one-half of the share in the Schedule-A to the plaintiffs by passing preliminary decree and allot such due share by dividing in metes and bounds of the same.
- b) to grant the decree for declaration that the plaintiffs are the absolute owner of undivided half share with right tile and claim over the schedule B with possession.
- c) to grant permanent injunction to restrain the defendant or the person claiming through them or their agent or men or the person in attorney from any way dealing with or meddling with the properties of the plaintiff in the schedule A and B.

For Plaintiffs : No Appearance



WEB COPY



C.S.No.657 of 2014

For Defendants : Mr.S.Thangasivan
for D7 to D14 and D16 to D22

JUDGMENT

When the matter came up for hearing on 12.03.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the plaintiffs and hence the matter was directed to be posted under the caption "for dismissal" on 13.03.2025. Even today (13.03.2025), there is no representation on behalf of the plaintiffs either in person or through the counsel on record. Hence, the Civil Suit is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

13.03.2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking Order
uma

A.A.NAKKIRAN,J
uma



WEB COPY



C.S.No.657 of 2014

C.S.No.657 of 2014

13.03.2025