



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15777 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-07-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP No. 15777 of 2025

1. Gowtham Ganesh
2. J.Karthika

Petitioners

Vs

The State represented by its
Inspector of Police, M1 Madhavaram
Police Station, Chennai District. Crime
No.77 of 2024

Respondent


PRAYER This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on Anticipatory Bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.77 of 2024 pending investigation on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners: Mr.M.Rajinikanth

For Respondent(s): Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 406 & 420 IPC, in Crime




No.77 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners had alleged to have cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.15 Lakhs by way of doing online trading business. Hence, the complaint.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would submit that it is the case of money dispute and it has been falsely projected as a case of cheating. Therefore, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioners with their sweet coated words had received an amount of Rs.15 Lakhs from the defacto complainant for running online trading business and thereby, cheated him. He also stated that investigation is pending and if the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail at this



stage, there will be possibility of abscondance and will hamper the investigation. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the allegation level against the petitioners and the objection raised by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition seeking anticipatory bail is dismissed.

14-07-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15777 of 2025





CRL OP No. 15777 of 2025



To
WEB COPY

1. The State represented by its
Inspector of Police, M1 Madhavaram
Police Station, Chennai District. Crime
No.77 of 2024.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15777 of 2025



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.

gbi

**CRL OP No. 15777 of
2025**

14-07-2025