



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.05.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.15480 of 2025

H.Purushothaman

... Petitioner

VS-

The State Represented by,
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Kattumannar Kovil Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
Crime No. 129 of 2025.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail in
the event of his arrest in Cr. No.129 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.

For petitioner : Mr.D.Parthibhan
For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the alleged offences under Sections 76, 78, 351 (3), 308(2) of BNS
and Section 67(a) of I.T.Act r/w Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of
Harassment of Women Act, 1998 in Crime No.129 of 2025, seeks anticipatory
bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is alleged to have taken obscene photographs of the defacto complainant and threatened her that he will circulate the same in the social media. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner and the defacto complainant were in love with each other. When they are in close relationship, the petitioner has taken obscene photographs of the defacto complainant and threatened her that he will circulate the same in the social media. She has also paid Rs.7 lakhs to the petitioner and still he is demanding more money by threatening her. He further submitted that if the petitioner is allowed on anticipatory bail, it will affect the future of the victim girl. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by both counsel and the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

21.05.2025

gv/jai

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.”



WEB COPY



N.SENTHILKUMAR,J

gv

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Kattumannarkovil, Cuddalore.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Kattumannar Kovil Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.15480 of 2025

21.05.2025