



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-11-2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL**

REV.APPL No. 228 of 2025

AND

**REV.APPL NO. 227 OF 2025, REV.APPL NO. 224 OF 2025, REV.APPL
NO. 223 OF 2025, REV.APPL NO. 226 OF 2025, REV.APPL NO. 225 OF
2025 and Rev.Appl.No.222 of 2025**

Rev.Appl.No.228 of 2025

1. B.Lakshmi Narayanan
S/o. Balaraman, S.I. of Police, M-15,
Police Quarters, T.Nagar, Chennai 17

Appellant(s)

Vs

1. The Secretary to Government
Home, Police - II Department,
The Secretariat, Chennai - 9

2.The Director General of Police
Dr.Radhakrishnan Road, Mylapore,
Chennai - 4

3.The Chairman
Tamil Nadu Uniform Services
Recruitment Board, Chennai

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Review Application filed under Order 41 of Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 114 of CPC, praying to review the order dated 23.04.2018 passed in WA.NO. 1489 of 2016.



Rev.Appl.No.222 of 2025

V.S.Ebenezer

...Appellant

vs.

1.The Secretary to Government,
(Home Police-II) Department,
The Secretariat, Chennai-9

2.The Director General of Police,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Road, Mylapore,
Chennai-4

3.The Chairman,
Tamil nadu Uniform Services Recruitment Board,
Egmore, Chennai-8.

...Respondents

PRAYER

Review Application filed under Order 41 of Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 114 of CPC, praying to review the order dated 23.04.2018 passed in WA.NO. 1545 of 2016.

In all Rev.Appls

For Appellant(s):	Mr.K.Ravi Anantha Padmanaban senior counsel for Ms.Rajalakshmi
For Respondent(s):	Mr.P.Kumaresan Additional Advocate General assisted by Mr.R.Kumaravel Additional Government Pleader for R1 to R3



COMMON ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.Ramesh J.)

The only ground raised in these Review Applications is that there are certain precedents of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, which were inadvertently not brought before the Co-ordinate Bench at the time when final orders were passed in the Writ Appeals.

2. We are unable to subscribe to this ground, for the simple reason that the same can only be a ground for an appeal and not to review the matter. By virtue of the principle of constructive *res judicata*, this ground cannot be entertained in a review application. There is also nothing on record to show that the petitioners herein had brought to the notice of the Co-ordinate Bench of any such alleged precedent. This apart, none of the grounds raised in these applications touches upon any error apparent in the face of the order.

3. In the above circumstances, we find no reasons to entertain the Review Applications and accordingly, the same stands dismissed.

(M.S.RAMESH J.) (R.SAKTHIVEL J.)

04-11-2025

Anu

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Note: Registry shall type the cause title and prayer for all the Review Applications



WEB COPY



**M.S.RAMESH J.
AND
R.SAKTHIVEL J.**

Anu

To

1.The Secretary to Government
Home, Police - II Department, The
Secretariat, Chennai - 9

2.The Director General of Police
Dr.Radhakrishnan Road, Mylapore,
Chennai - 4

3.The Chairman
Tamil Nadu Uniform Services
Recruitment Board, Egmore, Chennai -
8

**REV.APPL No. 228 of
2025
AND REV.APPL NO.
227 OF 2025, REV.APPL
NO. 224 OF
2025, REV.APPL NO.
223 OF 2025, REV.APPL
NO. 226 OF
2025, REV.APPL NO.
225 OF 2025 and
Rev.Appl.No.222 of 2025**

04-11-2025