



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15336 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.07.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP No. 15336 of 2025

Veena N

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu, Rep by
Inspector of Police
Valasaravakkam Police Staion,
Chennai District
Crime No.232 of 2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner accused on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.232 of 2025.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.S.Seetharaman

For Respondent(s): Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Govt Advocate (Crl Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 406 and 420 of IPC and



Section 5 of TNPID Act in Crime No.232 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused cheated the defacto complainant and her husband by collecting 4.74 lakhs and Rs.10.08 lakhs respectively, promising to invest in expanding a dental spa clinic and promising returns, but failed to repay the amount and issued a cheque that bounced. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and she has been falsely implicated in this case. The learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution's case and opposed for grant of



anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations and the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned TNPID Court, Chennai** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the



WEB COPY

satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for



WEB COPY

being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

14.07.2025

jai

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police
Valasaravakkam Police Staion,
Chennai District
- 2, The TNPID Court, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15336 of 2025





WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15336 of 2025



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

jai

**CRL OP No. 15336 of
2025**

14.07.2025