



W.P.No.18500 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 29.07.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.No.18500 of 2025

M.Sathyabhama

.. Petitioner

v.

1. Tamil Nadu Housing and Urban
Development Department
represented by the Principal Secretary
Fort St.George, Chennai 600 009
2. Tamil Nadu Housing Board
represented by Managing Director
CMDA Building, Koyambedu
Chennai
3. The Executive Engineer
Tamil Nadu Housing Board
Pattinapakkam Division
Nandanam, Chennai 600 035
4. Chief Revenue Officer
Tamil Nadu Housing Board
CMDA Building
E and C Shop Road
Koyambedu
Chennai 600 107



W.P.No.18500 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. The Assistant Revenue Officer
Pattinapakkam Division
Tamil Nadu Housing Board
No.485, Anna Salai
Nandanam, Chennai 600 035

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the first respondent to provide the petitioner with an alternate accommodation based on her representation dated 11.03.2025.


For Petitioner :: Ms.Sowjanya S for
M/s G.R.Associates

For Respondents :: Mr.E.Veda Bagath Singh
Special Government Pleader for R1
D.Veerasekaran
Standing Counsel for R2 to R5

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for issuance of a mandamus directing the first respondent, namely, the State of Tamil Nadu, to consider the representation made by the petitioner, wherein the petitioner is seeking for alternative accommodation.

2. The case of the petitioner is that she was allotted a house by the Tamil Nadu Housing Board at Pattinapakkam on 04.12.2006. The Housing



W.P.No.18500 of 2025

WEB COPY

Board is in the process of demolition of the construction, on the ground that it is in dilapidated condition. The petitioner, who did not have an alternative accommodation, approached the Government and made a representation requesting for alternative accommodation. Since the same was not considered, the present writ petition has been filed before this Court.

3. A counter affidavit has been filed by the Housing Board. The Housing Board has taken a stand that no one has the legal right to seek for an alternative accommodation. To substantiate this submission, the learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the Housing Board relied upon the judgment of a Division Bench in W.A.No.2583 of 2018 dated 28.11.2018.

4. Heard the respective learned counsel appearing for the parties.

5. On carefully going through the materials placed before this Court, it is seen that the Government has in fact given alternative accommodation to some of the persons, which is evident from G.O.Ms.No.73, Housing and Urban Development Department dated 12.03.2024. On carefully going




W.P.No.18500 of 2025

through the list of persons to whom such alternative accommodation has been given, it is seen that these persons are either the Personal Assistant to an ex-Minister or the party functionaries. They have been given alternative accommodation at K.K.Nagar.

6. What is sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander. If the Government is willing to provide alternative accommodation for some persons, the same yardstick must be applied to all the others. In other words, either the Government should not give alternative accommodation to anyone or if the Government chooses to give alternative accommodation, it must be given to everyone. This is in view of the fact that everyone must be treated as equal irrespective of the position they hold.

7. In the counter affidavit filed by the Housing Board, it has been stated that such alternative accommodation was made only by the Government and not by the Housing Board.

8. The learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the Housing



W.P.No.18500 of 2025

WEB COPY

Board submitted that only 10 flats have been occupied and the buildings are in a very dilapidated condition and it is very dangerous to continue the occupation in the said buildings.

9. This Court is able to understand the apprehension raised by the Housing Board. On the other hand, this Court must also do justice to the parties who approach the Court and who are able to point out to this Court that such alternative accommodation has been given to some persons. Hence, there shall be a direction to the first respondent/Government to deal with the representation made by the petitioner and to take a decision and inform the petitioner, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Till then, the present status quo shall be maintained. It is also made clear that the petitioner is continuing to reside in the property at her own risk and in case of any eventuality, she should not turn back against the Government and seek for compensation.

10. This writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. Consequently, W.M.P.No.20759 of 2025 is closed. No costs.



W.P.No.18500 of 2025

WEB COPY

Index : yes/no


Neutral citation : yes/no

29.07.2025

SS

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Government
Housing and Urban Development Department
Fort St.George
Chennai 600 009
2. The Managing Director
Tamil Nadu Housing Board
CMDA Building, Koyambedu
Chennai 600 107
3. The Executive Engineer
Tamil Nadu Housing Board
Pattinapakkam Division
Nandanam
Chennai 600 035
4. The Chief Revenue Officer
Tamil Nadu Housing Board
CMDA Building
E and C Shop Road
Koyambedu
Chennai 600 107
5. The Assistant Revenue Officer
Pattinapakkam Division
Tamil Nadu Housing Board
No.485, Anna Salai
Nandanam



Chennai 600 035

WEB COPY



W.P.No.18500 of 2025



WEB COPY



W.P.No.18500 of 2025

N.ANAND VENKATESH,J.

SS

W.P.No.18500 of 2025

29.07.2025