



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P. No.15420 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P. No.15420 of 2025

M. Mathimaran

... Petitioner/ Accused No.4

Vs.

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
F-4, Thousand Lights Police Station,
Chennai District.
(Crime No.166 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.166 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s. Kalaikumar

For Respondent : Mr. R. Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.O.P. No.15420 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 3(2)(a), 4(1) and 5(1)(a) of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 in Crime No.166 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused have indulged in brothel with the help of seven victim girls under the guise of running a SPA. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the co-accused/ A2 and A7 were granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 22.05.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.15720 of 2025 and vide order dated 02.06.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.15413 of 2025; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and sought for anticipatory bail to the



Crl.O.P. No.15420 of 2025


petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the A1 to A5 were running prostitution with the help of seven victim girls under the guise of SPA; that the victim girls were secured; that the petitioner herein helped the other accused for running prostitution and he has one previous case; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that some of the co-accused were already granted anticipatory bail by this Court and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from



WEB COPY

the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned IV Metropolitan Magistrate Court at Saidapet** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stands automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or



Crl.O.P. No.15420 of 2025

WEB COPY

trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

19.06.2025

stn

5/6



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P. No.15420 of 2025

M. NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

stn

To

1. The IV Metropolitan Magistrate,
Saidapet.
2. The Inspector of Police,
F-4, Thousand Lights Police Station,
Chennai District.
(Crime No.166 of 2025)
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.15420 of 2025

19.06.2025