



Crl.O.P.No.15380 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 15.05.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.15380 of 2025

1.V.Suresh

2.V.Kumar

3.K.Ganesh @ Honest

4.K.Aravind

... Petitioners

-VS-

The State Represented by,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Crime No.320 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the
event of their arrest in Crime No.320 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.Thiyagarajan

For Respondent : Mr.V.Meganathan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.15380 of 2025

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.320 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 02.05.2025, one Naresh lodged a complaint before the respondent police alleging that on 30.04.2025, around 5.30 P.M, while the defacto complainant was talking with his father in front of their house and his father scolded him, the 1st petitioner came down from the terrace, mistakenly believing that his father was scolding him. As a result, he got into a quarrel with them, abused them with a wooden log. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the case is in counter and there is some wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.15380 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.


6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the *learned Judicial Magistrate – I, Arakkonam*, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of 30 days;

(d) the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness



Crl.O.P.No.15380 of 2025

either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

(f) the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;


(g) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(h) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(i) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

15.05.2025

rna



Crl.O.P.No.15380 of 2025

WEB COPY

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.”

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate – I,
Arakkonam.
- 2.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.15380 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

rna

Crl.O.P.No.15380 of 2025

15.05.2025