



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.15135 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16-05-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP No. 15135 of 2025

1.Stephen @ Sivadass
2.Ajith @ Ajith Kumar

Petitioners

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Crime No.328 of 2025)

Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.D.Dayalan

For Respondent : Mr.V.Meganathan
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent in Crime No.328 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.328 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, for which the



Crl.O.P.No.15135 of 2025

petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted him with bricks causing injuries to him. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent; that they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners are no way connected with the alleged offence. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel, for which the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant with bricks and caused injuries. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that the co-accused/A1 is still in custody. Hence, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent Police and perused the



WEB.COM

materials available on record, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Arakkonam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of 30 days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY

[d] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioners to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];



CrI.O.P.No.15135 of 2025

WEB COPY

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered

under Section 269 of BNS.

drl

16-05-2025

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.”

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Arakkonam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.15135 of 2025

drl

CRL OP No. 15135 of 2025

16.05.2025