



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.14995 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.10.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.14995 of 2025

P.Panneer Selvam

... Petitioner/A3

Vs.

State By,  
The Inspector of Police,  
District Crime Branch,  
Thiruvannamalai,  
Thiruvannamalai District.  
Crime No.07 of 2025

... Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.07 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

|                |   |                                                        |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr.Shreedar                                            |
| For Respondent | : | Mr.S.Udayakumar<br>Government Advocate (Criminal Side) |
| For Intervenor | : | Mr.C.A.Anburaja                                        |



Crl.O.P.No.14995 of 2025

WEB COPY

### **ORDER**

The petitioner herein apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 506(i) of IPC in Crime No.07 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that, the petitioner has purchased Rs.1.5 Crores worth about materials and subsequently failed to pay the money. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that, already the petitioner has paid a sum of Rs.1.25 Crores to the defacto complainant. He further submitted that, defacto complainant had also initiated civil case for recovery of balance amount. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.14995 of 2025

4. The learned counsel appearing for the defacto complainant/Intervenor submitted that, petitioners cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs. 1.25 Crores. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and the fact that already civil suits are pending, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate**



Crl.O.P.No.14995 of 2025

**No.III, Thiruvannamalai** on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

**[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.14995 of 2025

the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

**16.10.2025**

sma

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.III, Thiruvannamalai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
District Crime Branch,  
Thiruvannamalai,  
Thiruvannamalai District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.14995 of 2025

**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**

sma

**Crl.O.P.No.14995 of 2025**

**16.10.2025**

**(1/2)**