



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.14909 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.05.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.14909 of 2025

Prathibha Rani

... Petitioner

-VS-

State Rep. by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Kattur Police Station,  
Coimbatore District.  
(Crime No.98 of 2025).

... Respondent

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in  
Crime No.98 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Jaisivaramaraj

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

\*\*\*\*\*



CrI.O.P.No.14909 of 2025

WEB COPY

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.03.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.98 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 10.01.2025 at about 1.30 pm the petitioner along with three others visited the defacto complainant's jewellery shop to buy diamond jewels. Thereafter, jewels were purchased for a value of Rs.12,50,000/-. The petitioner issued a cheque bearing number 618789 for Rs.12,50,000/- drawn on Federal Bank and also given her PAN card and mobile number. When the cheque was presented for encashment in the account maintained by the defacto complainant's jewellery shop, the cheque was returned unpaid for the reason that the account was frozen. Hence, on 22.02.2025, the complaint was given against the petitioner after the delay of 34 days from the date of occurrence. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and she has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.14909 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police would submitted that in this case the petitioner purchased the gold jewels and the cheque was given, later it was held that the petitioner's bank account was inoperative. Thereafter, the complainant enquired the petitioner and A2 had absconded. Hence, he opposed for granting bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submission made by the learned counsel appearing on either side and the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the *learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Coimbatore*, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the**



Crl.O.P.No.14909 of 2025

WEB COPY

**respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for further interrogation;**

[c] the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a Police Officer as and when required;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the de facto complainant and witnesses;

[e] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

**14.05.2025**

rna



CrI.O.P.No.14909 of 2025

WEB COPY

**“Note:**

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.”

**To**

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,  
Coimbatore.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
Kattur Police Station,  
Coimbatore District.
- 3.The Superintendent,  
Central Jail, Coimbatore.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.14909 of 2025

**M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.**

rna

**Crl.O.P.No.14909 of 2025**

**14.05.2025**