



Crl.OP.No.14827 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.10.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.14827 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P.No.11188 of 2025

Periyasamy

... Petitioner/A5

Vs.

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Avadi City CCB Police Station,
Chennai.
(Cr.No.49 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of the arrest in connection with Cr.No.49 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.P.Sathish Kumar
For Intervenor	:	No appearance
For Respondent	:	Mr.S.Udayakumar Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.14827 of 2025

ORDER

The petitioner herein / A5, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the alleged offence under Sections 419, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.49 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant, one M.Ambika, who purchased the property from one Saraswathi Srinivasan vide document No.1843 of 2011 comprised in S.No.186/10, Plot No.8 to an extent of 2400 sq.ft. While so, the 1st accused impersonated herself as the original Saraswathi Srinivasan, who is the vendor of the defacto complainant and joining hands with other accused executed a registered General Power of Attorney in favour of one Dinakaran / A4 vide Document No.11738 of 2015 on the file of the Sub-Registrar Office, Tiruvallur. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that A2 was arrested and released on bail and anticipatory bail was also granted to A3. He further submitted that based on the confession statement given by the arrested accused, this petitioner was included as an accused in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there is one



previous case of similar nature as against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the fact that the petitioner has also actively participated in fabrication of records and also considering the bad antecedents of the petitioner, if anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, he will hamper the investigation. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

16.10.2025

smv

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Avadi City CCB Police Station,
Chennai.
- 2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

smv



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.14827 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.14827 of 2025

16.10.2025