



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.14495 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-05-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. SENTHIL KUMAR

CRL OP NO.14495 of 2025

Subramani

... Petitioner(s)/ A1

Vs


State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Velagoundampatty Police Station,
Namakkal District.
(Crime No.59 of 2025).

... Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.59 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. W. Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.14495 of 2025



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 324(4), (5), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS (U/s.447, 427, 294(b), 506(i) of IPC) in Crime No.59 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighboring land owners; that the petitioner along with other accused trespassed into the defacto complainant's agricultural land, removed a palm tree and put a fencing; that while the same was questioned by the defacto complainant and her husband, they were abused and threatened of dire consequences. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.




WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that on account of boundary dispute, wordy quarrel arose and the petitioner along with other accused had abused and threatened the defacto complainant; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Namakkal** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police



or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory

WEB COPY

bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in



Crl.O.P.No.14495 of 2025

P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.05.2025

stn/ nsl

Note:-

- 1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.**
- 2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.**

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I, Namakkal.

N. SENTHILKUMAR, J.

stn/ nsl

2. The Inspector of Police,

5/6



Crl.O.P.No.14495 of 2025

Velagoundampatty Police Station,
Namakkal District.
(Crime No.59 of 2025).

WEB COPY

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.14495 of 2025

21.05.2025