



W.M.P.No.22340 of 2025

in

W.P.No.6901 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.10.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

W.M.P.No.22340 of 2025

in


W.P.No.6901 of 2025

Gee Pee Constructions
Salem Corporation,
DRDA and High -Way Contractor,
89 /130, Thathampatti, Salem 14.

.. Petitioner

Vs

1. Pavayee
2. G.P.Arularashu
3. G.Anbu Kumar
4. The District Collector,
Salem District,
Salem.
5. The Assistant Collector,
District Rural Development Agency,
Salem.
6. The Tahsildar,
Thalaivasal Taluk,
Salem District.



W.M.P.No.22340 of 2025

in

W.P.No.6901 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. The Block Development Officer,
Thalaivasal Panchayat Union,
Thalaivasal Post,
Salem District.

.. Respondents

Prayer : The Writ Miscellaneous Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India to implead the petitioner as 5th respondent in W.P.No.6901 of 2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Jayaprakash

For Respondents : Mr.V.Ravichandran
for R1 to R3
Mrs.C.Meera Arumugham
Additional Government Pleader
for R4 to R6
Mr.M.Rajendiran
Additional Government Pleader for R4

ORDER

The petitioner is a road contractor. He claims to have been benefited with a contract to lay a road under the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana. It seems that while implementing the work order, he has to complete 2900 mts., utilizing Wet Mix Macadam. He has completed 2700 mts so far. The balance remains to be done. As the contract runs over Survey No.379/6, the impleading petitioner claims that the pendency of the writ petition is affecting his work.



W.M.P.No.22340 of 2025

in

W.P.No.6901 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The petitioner is the beneficiary under the contract. Merely because the contract has been awarded, it does not mean that he is entitled to lay a road over the property that belongs to private persons. Whether the road belongs to the petitioner or to the Government is the main subject matter in the writ petition. The presence of the petitioner is neither going to help, nor his absence will detract the finding to be given by this Court. I do not find his presence necessary or essential, as he is neither a proper nor a necessary party. Hence, this Writ Miscellaneous Petition stands dismissed.

09.10.2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ms

To

1. The District Collector,
Salem District, Salem.
2. The Assistant Collector,
District Rural Development Agency, Salem.



WEB COPY



W.M.P.No.22340 of 2025
in
W.P.No.6901 of 2025

V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

ms

3. The Tahsildar,
Thalaivasal Taluk,
Salem District.
4. The Block Development Officer,
Thalaivasal Panchayat Union,
Thalaivasal Post, Salem District.

W.M.P.No.22340 of 2025
in
W.P.No.6901 of 2025

09.10.2025
(3/4)