



Crl.O.P.No.13781 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P.No.13781 of 2025

Ramki

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station
Thittagudi
Crime No. 04 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.04 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.


For Petitioner : Mr.Ragavendran

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 64, 137(2), 296(b) and 351(2) of BNS 2023, Crime No.04 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the pretext of marriage, the




Crl.O.P.No.13781 of 2025

petitioner raped the victim girl and thereafter, refused to marry her. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel further submitted that to wreak vengeance upon the petitioner, a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there are totally four accused in this case, in which the petitioner was arrayed as A1 and that the petitioner on the pretext of marriage, had physical relationship with the de-facto complainant and thereafter, refused to marry her. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.13781 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. On perusal of the records revealed that the petitioner was employed in Dubai and through Instagram, the petitioner fell in love with the victim girl. He also proposed to marry the victim girl and on the pretext of marriage, the petitioner had committed rape on the victim girl on compulsion. The petitioner had committed very serious and heinous offence and therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required in this case.

7. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

23.06.2025

uma

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

uma



Crl.O.P.No.13781 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1.The Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station
Thittagudi

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.13781 of 2025

23.06.2025