



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.25968 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.25968 of 2025

Raji @ Sathiyamoorthy

... Petitioner/ A2

Vs

The State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Villupuram Taluk,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.787 of 2024)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.787 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. D. Balaji

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(a), 4(1)(h), 4(1)(A) and 4(1)(C) of TNP (Amendment) Act in Crime No.787 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail. The earlier anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.5396 of 2025 was dismissed by this Court, vide order dated 18.03.2025.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, based on a secret information, the respondent police found that A1 was in possession of 20 numbers of Brandy Pouch and 35 numbers of brandy bottles and based on his confession, it is revealed that the petitioner was also involved in the said offence. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was falsely implicated in this case based on the confession of A1 and there is no recovery from the petitioner and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He also submitted that this is the fourth anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.25968 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and states that the petitioner has nine previous cases of similar nature; and that the investigation is pending.

5. Considering the facts, nature of allegation, the previous antecedents of the petitioner and there is no change of circumstances after the dismissal of the earlier anticipatory bail petition in Crl.O.P.No.5396 of 2025, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

22.09.2025

stn



WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Villupuram Taluk,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.787 of 2024)
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



Crl.O.P.No.25968 of 2025

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.25968 of 2025

22.09.2025