



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

Dated : 03.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024
& W.M.P.Nos.16894, 16895, 16916, 16917, 16928, 16929, 16902 &
16903 of 2024

Kongu Reality LLP,
Rep by its Designated Partner,
No.54, Mettupalayam Road,
G.N.Milla Post, Coimbatore 641 029
PAN AARPK6333P

... Petitioner in W.P.No.15535 of 2024

M/s.Signatures Land Holdings & Developers LLP,
Rep by Designated Partner,
No.54, Mettupalayam Road,
G.N.Milla Post, Coimbatore 641 029
PAN ACUFS8743E

... Petitioner in W.P.No.15540 of 2024

Charles Luxury Villas P Ltd.,
Rep by Director, 101, VI Street Extn,
Gandhipuram, Coimbatore 641 012
PAN AABCT9309F

... Petitioner in W.P.No.15545 of 2024

Kongu Villas LLP,
Rep by its Designated Partner,
No.54, Mettupalayam Road,
G.N.Milla Post, Coimbatore 641 029
PAN AARPK6329P

... Petitioner in W.P.No.15551 of 2024



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

Vs.

WEB COPY

1.The Principal Commissioner of Income Tax,
Coimbatore I, Income Tax Department,
No.63, Race Course Road,
Coimbatore 641 018

2.The Income Tax Officer,
Non-Corporate Ward 4(3),
Coimbatore, Income Tax Department,
No.63, Race Course Road,
Coimbatore 641 018

... Respondents

Common Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records of the petitioner on the file of the 1st respondent to quash the impugned notification under Section 127 dated 25.04.2024 in C.No.142/PCIT-1/CBE/2024-25.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.S.Sriraman

For Respondent : Dr.B.Ramaswamy,
Senior Standing counsel



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

COMMON ORDER

The present Writ Petitions are filed to call for the records of the petitioner on the file of the 1st respondent to quash the impugned notification under Section 127 dated 25.04.2024 in C.No.142/PCIT-1/CBE/2024-25.

2. The petitioners are aggrieved by the aforesaid impugned Notification dated 25.04.2024 issued by the first respondent in exercise of its power conferred by Section 127 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (in short, -the Act-), whereby, the income tax assessment file of the petitioner from the Office of the Principal Commissioner of Income Tax, Coimbatore has been transferred to the Central Circle, Kolkatta (herein referred to -Central Circle-). This transfer order has been passed to centralize the case of the petitioner for effective and co~ordinated investigation along with other cases.



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is the resident of Coimbatore, having a registered office at Coimbatore. A show cause notice was issued by the 1st respondent on 26.12.2023 stating that a Search and Seizure action was carried out under Section 132 of the Act in the petitioner-s case on 12.10.2023 by Authorized Officer under the control of the Principal Director of Income Tax (Investigation), Kolkata, where, they have seized number of incriminating documents, which are inter~connection and affect the assessment of the petitioner. Therefore, in order to centralize the petitioner-s case for effective and co~ordinated investigation they intended to transfer the case case to the Central Circle at Kolkata and thereby, called upon the petitioner to file the objections in writing if any, on or before 02.01.2024. Subsequent to the said show cause notice, the petitioner had filed their replies on 28.12.2023 & 02.01.2024.

4. Challenging the impugned Notification, the learned counsel for the petitioner would primarily contend that no opportunity of personal hearing as contemplated under Section 127 of the Act has been provided




W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

to the petitioner before passing the impugned Notification and thereby, violated the principles of natural justice. He would submit that in the reply filed to the Show Cause Notice on 26.12.2023, the petitioner requested for personal hearing, but no personal hearing was not afforded to. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the impugned Notification is liable to be set aside on the ground of violation of principles of natural justice and directly in contrary to provisions of Section 127 (1) of the Income Tax Act. In terms of Section 127(1) of the Act, the 1st respondent was supposed to provide an opportunity of personal hearing. But, in the present case, the same was not provided.

5. The learned counsel would also submit that the inconvenience expressed by the petitioner in the reply, dated 28.12.2023 & 02.01.2024, expressed their inconvenience particularly, with regard to having their registered office at Coimbatore, cost of travel and litigation to represent their case before the authorities at Kolkatta Circle and no business activities were carried out by the petitioner within the jurisdiction of



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

Kolkatta Circle. Without considering all these aspects, the impugned Notification was issued. Hence, he prays to set aside the impugned Notification and direct the respondents to consider the matter afresh by affording personal opportunity to the petitioner and taking into consideration the replies filed by the petitioner.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the High Court of Bombay in the case of ***Kamal Varandmal Galani Vs. Principal Commissioner of Income Tax*** reported in [2023] 152 *taxmann.com* 340 (Bombay) and the judgment of the High Court of Calcutta in the case of ***Giridhari Lal Goenka Vs. Principal Commissioner of Income Tax*** reported in [2023] 152 *taxmann.com* 250 (Calcutta), wherein it has been held that an opportunity of personal in terms of Section 127 of the Act should be provided and to furnish all relevant documents indicating the basis for passing the order of transfer. Therefore, he would submit that the impugned Notification is in clear violation of principles of natural justice and the same is not sustainable in law.



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

7. Dr.B.Ramaswamy, learned Senior Standing counsel appearing

for the respondents would submit that in the present case, the petitioner has been carrying on business within the jurisdiction of Kolkata Zone, particularly, the petitioner involved in the Lottery Business in Kolkata and also in several States of India and the authorities, on a search and seizure action carried out under Section 132 of the Act, number of incriminating materials were seized, which were inter~connected and affect the petitioner-s assessment and in such circumstances, the case has been transferred from DCIT, Coimbatore to DCIT, Kolkata. He pointed out that before passing the impugned Notification, a show cause notice, dated 26.12.2023 was issued to the petitioner, wherein, the respondents have clearly narrated the circumstances by which, they intended to transfer the petitioner-s case to Kolkata (Central Circle). Further, he would submit that in the show cause notice, the petitioner was requested to file their reply/objections, on or before 02.01.2024. After taking into consideration the petitioner-s reply only, the Notification was issued on 25.04.2024 under Section 127 (2) of the Act. Before issuing the



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

Notification, all the contentions of the petitioner were considered by the 1st respondent. Therefore, he would submit that since the reply of the petitioner was considered, there is no violation of principles of natural justice. He would submit that it is only the transfer of case and with regard to seizure of the incriminating documents and the petitioner is well aware and those documents would affect the assessment of the petitioner. Further, in the show cause notice, all other details are narrated. Therefore, taking note of the fact that the petitioner has been carrying out business operation of lottery and other activities within the jurisdiction of the Kolkata Circle and during the search, the respondents found the incriminating materials, the impugned Notification was issued since the centralization is essential for co-ordinated investigation. Therefore, he would submit that after affording the opportunity to the petitioner and on consideration of the objections, the impugned Notification was passed, which requires no interference and hence, he would urge this Court to dismiss the Writ Petition as not maintainable.



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

8. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as Dr.B.Ramaswamy, learned Senior Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

9. The issues that arise for consideration are as follows:~

1.Whether the respondents have sufficient material for transfer of the case from Coimbatore to Central Circle, Kolkata? And

2.Whether the respondents have provided an opportunity for filing a reply and personal hearing before passing the impugned Notification?

10. As far as the first issue is concerned, according to the petitioner, they are having their registered office at Coimbatore and they have not conducted any business operations of lottery in Tamil Nadu. Of~course, the Lottery business is prohibited in Tamil Nadu, but the fact remains that upon the search and seizure conducted by the respondents, they found number of incriminating materials which are all



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

inter~connected and would directly affect the assessment of the petitioner and under these circumstances, as rightly mentioned by the respondents in the show cause notice that since the documents have been seized at different places, it is necessary that all the cases should be considered together at one place so that harmonious and co~ordinated investigation be undertaken to arrive at just assessment. It is relevant to extract the contents of the show cause notice, dated 26.12.2023, which read as follows:~

“A search and Seizure action was carried out u/s 132 of the Income Tax Act, 1961, in your case on 12.10.2023 by Authorized Office under the control of the Principal Director of Income Tax (Investigation), Kolkata. In this regard, a proposal to centralize your Income Tax file has been received in this office. Your proceedings under the Income Tax Act, 1961, is proposed to be centralized in any of Central Circle in Kolkata for the following reason:

(a) As a result of the said search action a number of incriminating documents were seized. The documents are inter~connected and affect your assessment. It is necessary to see their effect together on the assessments.



WEB COPY



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

It can only be done after analyzing and investigating the documents found at different places together. As documents have been seized at different places, it is necessary that all the cases should be considered together at one place so that harmonious and co-ordinated investigation be undertaken to arrive at just assessment.

Since this transfer of your case would involve change of station/City of the Assessing Office, you are hereby given an opportunity to file your objections to the proposed transfer, if any, with reasons and documentary evidences.

Your objections, if any, should reach this office either by Email (Coimbatore.pcit@incometax.gov.in) or letter to the Principal Commissioner of Income Tax-1, 63, Race Course Road, Coimbatore, on or before 02.01.2024. Alternatively, if required you can appear in person or through any Authorized representative to file/submit any objection to the proposed centralization by 02.01.2024"

11. A perusal of the said show cause notice, would reveal that a



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

search and seizure action was carried out under Section 132 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 on 12.10.2023 by Authorized Officer under the control of the Principal Director of Income Tax (Investigation), Kolkata. As a result of the said search and seizure in Kolkata Office, the respondents found many incriminating documents and they have come to a conclusion that the petitioner was conducting business operations of lottery in the jurisdiction of Kolkata Central Circle. Therefore, the said documents are inter~connected and will directly affect the assessment of the petitioner. Under these circumstances, the respondents have issued the show cause notice and called upon the petitioner to file a reply on or before 02.01.2024. Pursuant to the same, the petitioner has also filed their reply on 28.12.2023 & 02.01.2024. Therefore, as rightly contended by the learned Junior Standing Counsel, the respondents have provided sufficient opportunity to the petitioner file reply/objections for passing the order under Section 127 of the Act.

12. The reply filed by the petitioner to the show cause notice, reads as follows:~

12/21



WEB COPY



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

“With reference to your above notice, we wish to know the finding in our case in connection with the search in the case of Lottery Group. We are of the view that there is no finding in our case warranting centralisation of our file (PAN: ABLFA6784H).

Further, our registered office is located in Colmbatore, Tamilnadu, it is not possible for us to travel to Kolkata to present our case before the authorities there. It will also be very expensive for us to travel or hire a local lawyer to defend our case. The authorities In Coimbatore are well competent enough to undertake the assessment proceedings in our case which they have already been doing over the years.

We, therefore reiterate that centralization of our case at Kolkata shall devold our right to fair and natural justice and therefore we request you not to transfer our file to Kolkata. If centralisation of cases is required, we have no objection in having the case centralised with Central Circle, Coimbatore.”




W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

13. A perusal of the above reply filed by the petitioner reveals that the petitioner expressed their personal difficulties citing the costs of litigation as well as they are having their registered office at Coimbatore, the availability of documents at Coimbatore, etc. Therefore, the petitioner raised objections for transferring the case to Central Circle, Kolkata.

14. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is having their registered office at Coimbatore and no business activities were carried out at Kolkata, but upon search and seizure carried out under Section 132 of the Act on 12.10.2023 by the Authorised Officer under the control of the Principal Director of Income Tax (Investigation), Kolkata, number of incriminating documents and materials came to be seized, which were directly connecting with the involvement of the petitioner in the business operation of Lottery in the state of West Bengal in different capacities such as Sub~distributors, Stockist, Printing press, etc. Therefore, for the sake of co~ordinated and




W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

meaningful assessment of entire block, it was proposed by the respondents that assessment of all such related individuals/concerns be conducted together. Accordingly, after issuing the show cause notice and on consideration of the objections raised by the petitioner, the Notification dated 25.04.2024 was issued.

15. Therefore, since all the materials have been collected in Kolkata Central Circle, the 1st respondents, taking into consideration of the reply filed by the petitioner, decided to transfer the petitioner-s case to the Central Circle, Kolkata. It is pertinent to note that apart from the petitioner case, there were eight other cases, which were found indulging similar activities as that of the petitioner in conducting the business operations of lottery, the 1st respondent ordered transfer of all cases to the Central Circle of Kolkata for the purpose of coordinated investigation in Lottery Group.

16. I do not find any substance in the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the respondents failed either to



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

provide an opportunity or lack of sufficient materials to transfer the case from Central Circle, Coimbatore to Central Circle, Kolkata.

Accordingly, the Issue Nos. 1 and 2 are answered.

17. Thus, this Court is of the considered opinion that although the registered office of the petitioner is situated at Coimbatore and they had not carried out any business activities at Kolkata, it will be appropriate to make the assessment through the Circle, where the incriminating materials have been seized based on the place of business of the Assesee, irrespective of situation of the registered office. This is what had taken place in the present case.

18. The issue of jurisdiction and change in jurisdiction under Section 127 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 is a pivotal aspect of tax administration and litigation. This Section provides a legal frame work for the transfer of cases from one Assessing Officer (AO) to another and is crucial in ensuring the smooth functioning of the tax administration system. If the contentions raised by the petitioner are accepted and



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

allowed, the Coimbatore jurisdictional Assessing Officer has to finalize the assessment of the petitioner and in such event, certainly, it will be difficult for him to complete the assessment in the absence of material documents, which were seized upon search by the Income Tax (Investigation) authorities of Kolkata Central Circle. Hence, it would be appropriate to transfer the petitioner-s case to Central Circle, Koltaka since the Income Tax authorities have traced out and seized number of incriminating documents which reveal the involvement of the petitioner in conducting the business operations of lottery and deciding the issue of evasion of taxes is within their jurisdiction. Therefore, the 1st respondent has rightly transferred the cases from Coimbatore jurisdiction to Kolkatta jurisdiction. Hence, I do not find any reasons to interfere with the impugned Notification dated 25.04.2024.

19. In fact, Section 127 does not contain the grounds on which a case is to be transferred. It has been left to the discretion of the authority which has to be exercised by it in public interest. It is neither possible nor desirable to enumerate the grounds which can be said to be valid



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

grounds for transfer u/s 127 of the Act. However, the paramount consideration for transfer should be the public interest and the power is to be guided and controlled to serve the purpose of the Act. If the transfer is being made for the purpose of co-ordinated investigation for the purpose of assessment and collection of tax in a more convenient or efficient way, then it will be a good ground for transfer. In the present case, this Court does not find any irregularity or infirmity in passing the impugned Notification by the 1st respondent ordering transfer of the case of the petitioner to Central Circle, Kolkata along with other cases only for the purpose of co-ordinated investigation in Lottery Group. No doubt, transfer of a case from the place where the assessee has its place of residence or business to another place causes inconvenience but if it is necessary in the public interest then the transfer on the ground of proper and co-ordinated investigation cannot be held to be impermissible in law.

20. Moreover, I do not find any prejudice that would be caused due to the present notification to the petitioner because no final



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

assessment order adverse to the petitioner was passed, except the transfer of the petitioner-s case by invoking Section 127 of the Act from Central Circle, Coimbatore to Central Circle, Kolkata. The Income Tax Act, being a taxing statute, very strict interpretation has to be given and in the absence of any prejudice caused to the petitioner, the challenge to the impugned notification has to be rejected.

21. The case laws referred to by the learned counsel for the petitioner would not persuade this Court to take a different view contrary to the decision of the 1st respondent and hence, the said case laws would not improve the case of the petitioner.

22. In the light of the above discussion, this Court is of the view that the Writ Petitions are liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, these Writ Petitions are dismissed as devoid of merits.

03.04.2025

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa

19/21



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Principal Commissioner of Income Tax,
Coimbatore I, Income Tax Department,
No.63, Race Course Road,
Coimbatore 641 018
- 2.The Income Tax Officer,
Non-Corporate Ward 4(3),
Coimbatore, Income Tax Department,
No.63, Race Course Road,
Coimbatore 641 018



WEB COPY



W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

W.P.Nos.15535, 15540, 15545 & 15551 of 2024
& W.M.P.Nos.16894, 16895, 16916, 16917,
16928, 16929, 16902 & 16903 of 2024

03.04.2025