



WEB COPY



WP.No.16821 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

WP.No.16821 of 2025

K.Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation,
Head Office, Villuppuram.
2. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation,
Kancheepuram Division,
Villuppuram Head Office,
Villuppuram.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 2nd Respondent in Letter No.23122/sa1/ne.ma.ve.be/tha.aa.po.ka/kanchi/19 dated 17.02.2024 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the Respondents to revise and regularise the service seniority of the petitioner and give promotion with monetary benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Rajaram

For Respondents : Mr.S.Pavithra
Standing Counsel for Transport



WP.No.16821 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking the relief of writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 2nd Respondent in Letter No.23122/sa1/ne.ma.ve.be/tha.aa.po.ka/kanchi/19 dated 17.02.2024 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the Respondents to revise and regularise the service seniority of the petitioner and give promotion with monetary benefits.

2. Heard Mr.A.Rajaram, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms.S.Pavithra, learned Standing Counsel for Transport appearing for the respondents.

3. It is the submission of the learned Standing counsel for the respondents that there is a reference in the letter dated 17.02.2024 that a communication had sent to the petitioner on 23.07.2019 and 27.07.2019 in respect of imposing punishment against him. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that he has not received any communication and the narration in the impugned letter is factually incorrect. Therefore, the same has to be interfered with.



WP.No.16821 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. This Court does not find any merits in this writ petition, as the letter dated 17.02.2024 is only informing about the issuance of earlier letter. Whether it is factually correct or not cannot be gone into in the writ proceedings. However, as rightly contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner, he may be given liberty to challenge the orders dated 23.07.2019 and 27.07.2019 in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above discussions, this writ petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the orders dated 23.07.2019 and 27.07.2019 in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

03.06.2025

kmi

Index : Yes /No

Speaking order : Yes/No

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

To

1. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation,
Head Office, Villuppuram.
2. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation,
Kancheepuram Division,
Villuppuram Head Office,
Villuppuram.



WEB COPY



WP.No.16821 of 2025

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

kmi

WP.No.16821 of 2025

03.06.2025