



Crl.O.P.No.13408 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No.13408 of 2025

1.Elangovan
2.Sasikala

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.State rep. by
Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch-II,
Mayiladuthurai District
2.The Inspector of Police,
Poraiyar Police Station,
Poraiyar, Mayiladuthurai
3.Karunanidhi

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to direct the respondents 1 & 2 herein not to harass the petitioners at the instance of the alleged false complaint lodged by the third respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Dhanasekar

For Respondents

For R1 & 2 : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER



Crl.O.P.No.13408 of 2025

WEB COPY


This petition has been filed seeking direction to respondents 1 & 2 herein not to harass the petitioners at the instance of the alleged false complaint lodged by the third respondent.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the respondents police harassed the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioners, current paper enquiry is pending in current paper No.22 of 2025 dated 13.03.2025 on the file of the respondents police

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondents police have been harassing them under the guise of an



Crl.O.P.No.13408 of 2025

WEB COPY

enquiry/investigation and hence, have invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would



Crl.O.P.No.13408 of 2025

WEB COPY

also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioners have complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioners may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing



Crl.O.P.No.13408 of 2025

persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

WEB COPY

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

29.04.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
lok



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.13408 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

lok

To

1. Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch-II,
Mayiladuthurai District
2. The Inspector of Police,
Poraiyar Police Station,
Poraiyar, Mayiladuthurai
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.13408 of 2025

29.04.2025