



Crl.OP.No.13580 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 10.06.2025

CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR
CRL OP NO.13580 of 2025

Sudhakar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Cr. No.101 of 2018)

Respondent(s)

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory
bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.101 of 2018 pending on the file of the
respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Chandrasekar

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Vinotharaj

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Section 393 I.P.C, in Crime No.101
of 2018, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner along with other accused had planned to commit robbery . Hence the case.

3.The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that co-accused has been granted bail and hence custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has five previous cases and he also has two cases one of which is NDPS case.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6.It is seen that from the year 2018, the case has been pending.

The respondent Police is unable to arrest the petitioner for almost seven years. Now the petitioner has come forward to appear before the respondent Police and co-operate with the investigation. In view of the same, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate II, Ponneri** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two **sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.13580 of 2025

the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days,
this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks; thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.13580 of 2025

learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

10.06.2025

ep



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.13580 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.
ep

To:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Ponneri.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.

CRL OP NO.13580 of 2025



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.13580 of 2025

10.06.2025