



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22982 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

W.P.No.22982 of 2025

B.VijayaKrishnan
Rep. by Power Agent
L.Elangovan

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Chief Secretary,
Secretariat,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Nutritious Meal Programme Department,
Secretariat,
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 3.The Director – cum – Mission Director,
Integrated Child Development Scheme,
Tharamani,
Chennai – 600 113.
- 4.The District Collector,
Kallakuruchi District,
Collectorate Buildings,
Kallakuruchi District.
- 5.The District Child Development Scheme Officer,
District Scheme Office,
Integrated Child Development Work Scheme,
Kallakuruchi and District.



W.P.No.22982 of 2025

WEB COPY

6.The Child Development Scheme Officer,
The Child Development (Anganwadi) Scheme Office,
Thirunavallur Village, Ulundurpet Taluk,
Kallakuruchi District.

7.Dhanalakshmi

... Respondents

Prayer:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the third respondent to direct the fifth respondent to initiate the disciplinary proceedings against the seventh respondent.

For Petitioner : Ms.P.Kavitha Balakrishnan
For Respondents : Mr.G.Nanmaran for R1 to R6
Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

The instant writ petition has been filed for directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation and to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the seventh respondent.

2.The contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is that the property situated in S.No.109/4B2 to an extent of 0.22 cents belongs to one B.Vijayakrishnan, however, by creating false document, they have sold the property with the seventh respondent and the seventh respondent having fully known about the forgery, in



W.P.No.22982 of 2025

collision with Kesavan has purchased the property. Therefore, it is the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that disciplinary proceedings has to be initiated against the seventh respondent.

3.While looking at the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, it involves question of fact which cannot be considered by the writ Court. Therefore, this Court finds no merit in the writ petition.

4.In the result, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

27.06.2025

pri

Index: Yes/ No
Speaking Order: Yes/ No
NCC: Yes/ No

To

- 1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Chief Secretary,
Secretariat,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Nutritious Meal Programme Department,
Secretariat,
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.

3/4



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22982 of 2025

C.KUMARAPPAN,J.
pri

- 3.The Director – cum – Mission Director,
Integrated Child Development Scheme,
Tharamani,
Chennai – 600 113.
- 4.The District Collector,
Kallakuruchi District,
Collectorate Buildings,
Kallakuruchi District.
- 5.The District Child Development Scheme Officer,
District Scheme Office,
Integrated Child Development Work Scheme,
Kallakuruchi and District.
- 6.The Child Development Scheme Officer,
The Child Development (Anganwadi) Scheme Office,
Thirunavallur Village, Ulundurpet Taluk,
Kallakuruchi District.

W.P.No.22982 of 2025

27.06.2025