



Crl.O.P.No.13294 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.13294 of 2025

1.Thattammal @ Suguna
2. Rajammal

... Petitioners/A1 & A2

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.137 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in connection with the Crime No.137 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : M/s.E.Sathiyaraj

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.04.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.137 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 4(1)(h), 4(1)(a), 4(1-A)(ii) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, read with Sections 4(1)(A), 4(1)(C) read with Section 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition (Amendment) Act, 2024.



Crl.O.P.No.13294 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused were found in possession of Brandy Bottles - 153 Nos. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are in custody from 13.04.2025 and since the contraband has been seized, the petitioners may be released on bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the case of the prosecution and submitted that the petitioners have other previous cases and in those cases, they are on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering, the fact that the contraband has been seized; period of incarceration; the fact that the petitioners have no bad antecedents; and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



Crl.O.P.No.13294 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Chengam, Tiruvannamalai District.**

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.13294 of 2025

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

29.04.2025

Sma

To

1. Judicial Magistrate, Chengam, Tiruvannamalai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Superintendent,
Special Prison for Women, Vellore.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.13294 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

CrI.O.P.No.13294 of 2025

29.04.2025