

CrI.O.P.No.13125 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 13125 of 2025

Prabhakar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Royakottai Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Cr.No.09 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.P.Saravanan

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.09 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 140(1), 127(2) & 351(2) of BNS @ 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 127(7) & 351(2) of BNS @ 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 140(1), 127(2) & 351(2) of BNS, in Crime No.09



Crl.O.P.No.13125 of 2025

of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, while the accused was driving a car, there was a dispute between the defacto complainant and the petitioner, for which, the petitioner along with other accused illegally detained the lorry driver and demanded a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner/A4 is innocent; that the allegations are false; that he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the co-accused was arrested and released on bail by this Court and in any case, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and hence prayed for anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and confirms the fact that the co-accused/A2 was released on bail; that the petitioner has he has no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the nature of allegations, the fact that the co-

accused was granted bail, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.13125 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN J.

drl

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

drl

28-04-2025

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Magudamchavadi Police Station,
Salem District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL OP No. 13125 of 2025