



WEB COPY



W.P.No.17936 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 04.06.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.17936 of 2025
& W.M.P.Nos.20157 & 20158 of 2025

Ramasamy Raja Mohan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Deputy State Tax Officer 2 (Circle),
Cuddalore Taluk, Cuddalore

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the respondent in ref No. ZD3312210055442 dated 28.12.2021, quash the same and direct the respondent to pass assessment order for the period between October 2018 and March 2019, in accordance with law taking note of the tax applicable to petitioners business and tax paid thereof on 27.11.2024.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Swarnavel

For Respondent : Ms.Amirta Poonkodi Dinakaran, GA



W.P.No.17936 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 28.12.2021 passed by the respondent.

2. Ms.Amirta Poonkodi Dinakaran, learned Government Advocate, takes notice on behalf of the respondent. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, all notices/communications were uploaded by the respondent under the “View Additional Notices and Orders” column in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Therefore, this petition has been filed.



W.P.No.17936 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Further, he would submit that the petitioner has already paid 40% of the disputed tax amount, to the respondent. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent had uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the respondent.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.



W.P.No.17936 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. In the case on hand, it is evident that the show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, he was not aware of the issuance of the said show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

8. No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, inspite of the fact that no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., the Officer should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte*



W.P.No.17936 of 2025

WEB COPY

order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well.

9. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act. Therefore, this Court finds that there is a lack of opportunities being provided to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner.

10. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has already paid 40% of the disputed tax amount to the respondent. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 28.12.2021 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-



WEB COPY



W.P.No.17936 of 2025

(i) The impugned order dated 28.12.2021 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the respondent for fresh consideration.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of payment of amount as stated above.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

(iv) Considering the fact that the impugned order itself has been set aside, this Court is of the opinion that the attachment made on the bank account of the petitioner cannot survive any longer and hence, it is to be lifted. As a sequel, the respondent is directed to instruct the concerned Bank to release the attachment, and de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner, immediately upon the production of a copy of this order.



W.P.No.17936 of 2025

11. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No

costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

04.06.2025

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa

To

The Deputy State Tax Officer 2 (Circle),
Cuddalore Taluk, Cuddalore



WEB COPY



W.P.No.17936 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

W.P.No.17936 of 2025
& W.M.P.Nos.20157 & 20158 of 2025

04.06.2025