



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.12986 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.12986 of 2025

Karunagaran

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Muthandikuppam Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
(Crime No.39 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.39 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kasirajan

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.39 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 194(3) @ 108 and 85 of BNS.



Crl.O.P.No.12986 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the deceased is the wife of the petitioner; that she had delivered a pre-mature baby, due to which, there was a quarrel between the deceased and the petitioner; that the petitioner had demanded more dowry; and that frustrated over the same, deceased had committed suicide. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations are false; that the petitioner is in custody from 14.03.2025 and further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the RDO Enquiry has been conducted.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



CrI.O.P.No.12986 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering, the nature of allegation; the period of incarceration; and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Panruti** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the



Crl.O.P.No.12986 of 2025

WEB COPY

conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

29.04.2025

Sma

To

1. Judicial Magistrate No.I, Panruti.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Muthandikuppam Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Cuddalore.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.12986 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

CrI.O.P.No.12986 of 2025

29.04.2025