



Crl.R.C.No.580 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.07.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.R.C.No.580 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P.No.9286 of 2025

A. Sundarapandi @ Sundarapandian

... Petitioner

Versus

1.Karuppan Chettiar Sockalingam

2. Rep by its POA Kathiresan A

... Respondents

3.

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Case is filed under Sections 438 r/w 442 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, to call for the records dated 27.03.2025 in Crl.M.P.No.3635 of 2025 in S.T.C.No.8520 of 2024 on the file of the Learned Metropolitan Magistrate Fast Track Court No.2, Allikulam, Chennai and set aside the same.

For Petitioner : Mr. K. Kathiresan

For Respondents : Mr. Arun Kasi



Crl.R.C.No.580 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY This revision has been preferred against the order dated 27.03.2025 in Crl.M.P.No.3635 of 2025 in S.T.C.No.8520 of 2024 on the file of the Learned Metropolitan Magistrate Fast Track Court No.2, Allikulam, Chennai, thereby dismissed the petition filed under Section 270 of BNSS, by the petitioner, seeking permission to examine the Sub-Inspector of Police, Karupppaurani Police Station.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. The petitioner is the accused in STC.No.8520 of 2024, pending on the file of the Metropolitan Magistrate, Fast Track Court No.2, Allikulam, Chennai, arising out of the complaint filed for the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

4. During the pendency of the trial, the petitioner filed an application under Section 270 of BNSS, seeking permission to examine the Sub-Inspector of Police, Karupppaurani Police Station, Madurai District, in order to establish that a complaint was lodged by him and a Community Service Register (CSR)



Crl.R.C.No.580 of 2025

receipt was issued.

WEB COPY

5. The Trial Court dismissed the said petition, observing that the petitioner had already produced the certified copy of the CSR issued by the said Police Station, and the same had been marked as defense evidence. Therefore, examining the Sub-Inspector of Police, Karuppurani Police Station, Madurai, was unnecessary.

6. This Court finds no illegality or infirmity in the order passed by the Trial Court. The CSR, being marked through the defense evidence, serves the intended purpose, and summoning the Sub-Inspector would only delay the trial without any added evidentiary value.

7. In view of the above, the order dated 27.03.2025 in Crl.M.P.No.3635 of 2025 in S.T.C.No.8520 of 2024 on the file of the Learned Metropolitan Magistrate Fast Track Court No.2, Allikulam, Chennai, is hereby confirmed.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.



Crl.R.C.No.580 of 2025

Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

WEB COPY

29.07.2025

Speaking / Non-Speaking Order
Neutral Case Citation : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No

klt

To

1. The Metropolitan Magistrate Fast Track Court No.2, Allikulam, Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.580 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

klt

Crl.R.C.No.580 of 2025
and
Crl.M.P.No.9286 of 2025

29.07.2025