



Crl.OP.No.12659 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 02.06.2025

CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR
CRL OP NO.12659 of 2025

Ramachandhiran

Petitioner

Vs

The State Rep By
The Inspector of Police
Kunnathur Police Station,
Tiruppur.
(Crime No.180 of 2024)

Respondent


Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory
bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.180 of 2024 pending on the file of the
respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Balamurugan V
For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Section 406 I.P.C. in Crime No.180
of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that, the defacto-complainant rented



Crl.OP.No.12659 of 2025

out his Mahindra Bolero van bearing Registration No.TN-39-DX-5770 to one Ganesan and his wife. However, without informing the defacto-complainant, the said Ganesan sold the car to A3. A3 in turn had sold the car to the petitioner. Hence the case.

3.The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that A1 to A3 were arrested and granted bail. He also submitted that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that petitioner is in possession of the said van which has been entrusted by A3. A3 also confirms the same. The vehicle is yet to be recovered.



Crl.OP.No.12659 of 2025

WEB COPY

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that A1 to A3 were already granted bail and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palacode, Dharmapuri**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the



learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders;

[d] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a Police office as and when required;

[f] the petitioner to give an undertaking that if required for being identified by witnesses during investigation or for Police custody beyond the first fifteen days, he shall comply to the directions as may be given by the Court in this regard;



Crl.OP.No.12659 of 2025

WEB COPY

[g] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[h] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[i] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

02.06.2025

ep



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.12659 of 2025

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

ep

To:

- 1.The Inspector of Police
Kunnathur Police Station,
Tiruppur.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate,
Palacode,
Dharmapuri.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.

CRL.OP.No.12659 of 2025



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.12659 of 2025

02.06.2025