



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.04.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.12627 of 2025

1.Sundari
2.Gomathi

...Petitioners/Accused 1 & 2

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.

(Crime No.231 of 2025)

...

Respondent


PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.231 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Thirumalai

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.04.2025, seeking bail



in Crime No.231 of 2025 registered for the offence under Section 105 of BNS, 2023.

WEB COPY

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the defacto complainant and the petitioners were residing in the same apartment and they were using a common toilet; that there were disputes between them in respect of maintaining the toilet; that on 02.04.2025 at about 8.00 p.m., due to a wordy quarrel in respect of the very same issue, the petitioners had attacked the deceased with their legs and hands and caused the death of the deceased. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent; that due to previous enmity, the petitioners were falsely implicated in this case and that the petitioners are in custody from 03.04.2025 and hence, further custody of the petitioners is not required and sought for bail.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and opposed the grant of bail.



WEB COPY

5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of allegations, the period of incarceration and since further custody of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing separate bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court – II, Ponneri**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;



[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

WEB COPY


[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

24.04.2025

ata



Copy to:

WEB COPY

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate Court – II, Ponneri.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Special Prison for Women, Puzhal, Chennai.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.12627 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

Crl.O.P.No.12627 of 2025

24.04.2025