



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-04-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 12317 of 2025

1. A.ARONSAMUVEL

2. Manoj Lugun

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Dusi Police Station, Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.153 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr.J Prasanth

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Balaji

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the Respondent in Crime No.153 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.153 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the accused had illegally transported 15 cubic meter stone in Ashok Leyland lorry.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent; that they have been falsely implicated in this case and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the property was seized, the fact that the petitioners have no bad antecedents and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of



investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyar**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond each for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 12317 of 2025



SUNDER MOHAN J.

gbi

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

gbi

24-04-2025

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Dusi Police Station, Tiruvannamalai District.

2. The Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyar.

CRL OP No. 12317 of 2025