



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.12503 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-04-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 12503 of 2025

1.Jagatheeshkumar @ Jagatheeshwaran
2.Dharmalingam
3.Vijaya

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Vellakoil Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
(Crime No.86 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.Vijayaragavan Marimuthu

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent in Crime No.86 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b) and 118(1) of BNS, in Crime No.86 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant's daughter; on the date of occurrence the petitioner along with other accused abused the defacto complainant and her husband and attacked her with a wooden log and also caused injuries to her. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioners had also lodged a complaint against the defacto complainant in Crime No.84 of 2025; that in any case, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required and sought for anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the third petitioner is not an accused; that there is a case in counter; that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the



Crl.O.P.No.12503 of 2025

materials available on record.

WEB COPY 6. Since the third petitioner is not an accused in this case, this Criminal Original Petition is closed with regard to third petitioner.

7. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, there is a counter case and the petitioners have no bad antecedents and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the first and second petitioners with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the first and second petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Kangeyam, Tiruppur District**, on condition that the first and second petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.12503 of 2025

[a] the first and second petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the first and second petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the first and second petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the first and second petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the first and second petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

drl

24-04-2025



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.12503 of 2025

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Vellakoil Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.12503 of 2025

SUNDER MONHAN, J.

drl

CRL OP No. 12503 of 2025

24.04.2025